

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/735,747	12/16/2003	Roshdy George S. Barsoum	21593	3342
7590 11/01/2004			EXA	MINER
Paul M. Craig, Jr. 207 Quaint Acres Drive Silver Spring, MD 20904			SOTELO, JESUS D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2 2pg, 12			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)
SUPPLEMENTAL	10/735,747	BARSOUM, ROSHDY GEORGE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jesús D. Sotelo	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT) e, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9-17,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the output of the Section 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o edrawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔀 Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/735,747 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 11-17 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, line 3, the recitation that "sides of the mid-section are also of hybrid composites" implies that parts previously recited were made out of this material. However, there is no previous reference to this in the preceding claims. This creates an ambiguity in the claim. In claim 14, lines 1-2, there is no proper antecedent for "said composite material".

In claim 15, line 2, there is no proper antecedent for "the outer shells".

In claim 16, line 2, there is no proper antecedent for "the stiffener means".

In claim 19, line 4, there is no proper antecedent for "the composite materials used for the hull outer skin".

Application/Control Number: 10/735,747 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mo.

Mo discloses a marine vessel comprising a bow section, a mid-section, and a stern section, in which the mid-section has a curved outer shape and includes an inner section (figure 7) with framing means and longitudinal bulkheads 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikora in view of Critchfield et al.

Sikora discloses a marine vessel generally as claimed, except that while figure 1 appears to show a curved outer shape, it is not very well disclosed. The use of such a curvature is clearly taught by Critchfield et al in a similar vessel. The curvature would be a

Art Unit: 3617

desirable feature to provide better stability. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the vessel of Sikora with a curved outer shape generally as taught by Critchfield et al. Critchfield et al additionally teaches the use of composite materials for use in the construction of a ship. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art to form some of the parts of the ship from composite materials generally as taught by Critchfield et al.

8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harley in view of Critchfield et al.

Harley discloses a catamaran including at least two pontoons connected by a cross structure. Critchfield et al teaches the use of composite materials to construct hulls. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the hulls of the vessel of Harley with composite materials including steel plating generally as taught by Critchfield et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 8 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 703-308-2563. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. 6:00 AM -2:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,747 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jesús D. Sotelo 10/29/6

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617
CPK 5-6D16 ©

sotelo;jds October 29, 2004